

Physical bounds on antennas of arbitrary shape

Mats Gustafsson (Gerhard Kristensson, Lars Jonsson Marius Cismasu, Christian Sohl)

Department of Electrical and Information Technology Lund University, Sweden

Loughborough Antennas & Propagation Conference, 2011-11-14

1 Motivation and background

- **2** Antenna bounds based on forward scattering
- Antenna bounds and optimal currents based on stored energy
- **4** Conclusions

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Physical bounds on antennas



- Properties of the best antenna confined to a given (arbitrary) geometry, *e.g.*, spheroid, cylinder, elliptic disk, and rectangle.
- ► Tradeoff between performance and size.
- ► Performance in
 - Directivity bandwidth product: D/Q (half-power $B \approx 2/Q$).
 - Partial realized gain: $(1 |\Gamma|^2)G$ over a bandwidth.

Background

- ▶ 1947 Wheeler: Bounds based on circuit models.
- ▶ 1948 Chu: Bounds on Q and D/Q for spheres.
- 1964 Collin & Rothchild: Closed form expressions of Q for arbitrary spherical modes, see also Harrington, Collin, Fantes, Maclean, Gayi, Hansen, Hujanen, Sten, Thiele, Best, Yaghjian, ... (most are based on Chu's approach using spherical modes.)
- ► 1999 Foltz & McLean, 2001 Sten, Koivisto, and Hujanen: Attempts for bounds in spheroidal volumes.
- \blacktriangleright 2006 Thal: Bounds on Q for small hollow spherical antennas.
- ▶ 2007 Gustafsson, Sohl, Kristensson: Bounds on D/Q for arbitrary geometries (and Q for small antennas).
- ▶ 2010 Yaghjian & Stuart: Bounds on Q for dipole antennas in the limit $ka \rightarrow 0$.
- ▶ 2011 Vandenbosch: Bounds on Q for small (non-magnetic) antennas in the limit $ka \rightarrow 0$.
- ▶ 2011 Chalas, Sertel, and Volakis: *Bounds on Q using characteristic modes.*
- 2011 Gustafsson, Cismasu, Jonsson: Optimal charge and current distributions on antennas.



Calculation of the stored energy and radiated power outside a sphere with radius a gives the Chu-bounds (1948) for omni-directional antennas, *i.e.*,

$$Q \ge Q_{\rm Chu} = \frac{1}{(k_0 a)^3} + \frac{1}{k_0 a} \quad \text{and} \ \frac{D}{Q} \le \frac{3}{2Q_{\rm Chu}} \approx \frac{3}{2} (k_0 a)^3$$

for $k_0 a \ll 1$, where $k = k_0$ is the resonance wavenumber $k = 2\pi/\lambda = 2\pi f/c_0$.

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

New physical bounds on antennas (2007)

Given a geometry, V, e.g., sphere, rectangle, spheroid, or cylinder. Determine how D/Q (directivity bandwidth product) for optimal antennas depends on size and shape of the geometry.

Solution:

$$\frac{D}{Q} \leq \frac{\eta k_0^3}{2\pi} \big(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} + (\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) \big)$$

is based on

- Antenna forward scattering
- Mathematical identities for Herglotz functions

M. Gustafsson, C. Sohl, G. Kristensson: Physical limitations on antennas of arbitrary shape Proceedings of the Royal Society A. 2007

M. Gustafsson, C. Sohl, G. Kristensson: Illustrations of new physical bounds on linearly polarized antennas IEEE Trans. Antennas Propagat. 2009

1 Motivation and background

- 2 Antenna bounds based on forward scattering
- Antenna bounds and optimal currents based on stored energy
- **4** Conclusions

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Antenna identity (sum rule)

Lossless linearly polarized antennas

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - |\Gamma(k)|^2) D(k; \hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{k^4} \, \mathrm{d}k = \frac{\eta}{2} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{e}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} + (\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathrm{m}} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) \right)$$

- $(1 |\Gamma(k)|^2)D(k; \hat{k}, \hat{e})$: partial realized gain, *cf.*, Friis transmission formula.
- $\Gamma(k)$: reflection coefficient
- $D(k; \hat{k}, \hat{e})$: directivity
- $k = 2\pi/\lambda = 2\pi f/c_0$: wavenumber
- \hat{k} : direction of radiation
- \hat{e} : polarization of the electric field, $E = E_0 \hat{e}$.
- $\blacktriangleright~\gamma_{\rm e}:$ electro-static polarizability dyadic of the structure.
- ▶ $\gamma_{
 m m}$: magneto-static polarizability dyadic (assume $\gamma_{
 m m}=0$)
- 0 ≤ η < 1: generalized (all spectrum) absorption efficiency (η ≈ 1/2 for small antennas).





Lossless \hat{z} -directed dipole, wire diameter $d = \ell/1000$, matched to 72 Ω . Weighted area under the black curve (partial realized gain) is known. Note, half wavelength dipole for $ka = \pi/2 \approx 1.5$ with directivity $D \approx 1.64 \approx 2.15 \,\mathrm{dB_i}$.

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden



Rectangles, cylinders, elliptic disks, and spheroids



Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

High-contrast polarizability dyadics: γ_∞

 γ_∞ is determined from the induced normalized surface charge density, $\rho,$ as

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\infty} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} = \int_{\partial V} \boldsymbol{r} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}) \, \mathrm{dS}$$

where ρ satisfies the integral equation

$$\int_{\partial V} \frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{r}')}{4\pi |\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \, \mathrm{dS}' = \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} + C_n$$

with the constraints of zero total charge

$$\int_{\partial V_n} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}) \, \mathrm{dS} = 0$$

Can also use FEM (Laplace equation).





equipotential lines

Outline

1 Motivation and background

- **2** Antenna bounds based on forward scattering
- Antenna bounds and optimal currents based on stored energy

4 Conclusions

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Bounds on $D/Q \ {\rm or} \ Q$

- ► Chu derived bounds on Q and D/Q for dipole antennas.
- Most papers analyze Q for small spherical dipole antennas. Results are independent of the direction and polarization so D = 3/2 and it is sufficient to determine Q for this case.
- The D/Q results are advantageous for general shapes as:
 - they provide a methodology to quantify the performance for different directions and polarizations.
 - they can separate linear and circular polarization.
 - D/(Qk³a³) appears to depend relatively weakly on ka in contrast to Qk³a³.





- Yaghjian and Stuart, Lower Bounds on the Q of Electrically Small Dipole Antennas, TAP 2010. Bounds on Q for small dipole antennas (in the limit ka → 0).
- Vandenbosch, Simple procedure to derive lower bounds for radiation Q of electrically small devices of arbitrary topology, TAP 2011. Bounds on Q for small (non-magnetic) antennas (in the limit ka → 0).
- Chalas, Sertel, and Volakis, Computation of the Q Limits for Arbitrary-Shaped Antennas Using Characteristic Modes, APS 2011. Bounds on Q not restricted to small ka.

Here, we reformulate the D/Q bound as an optimization problem that is solved using a variation approach and/or Lagrange multipliers, see Physical Bounds and Optimal Currents on Antennas, IEEE-TAP (in press).

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

D/Q

Directivity in the radiation intensity $P(\hat{\pmb{k}},\hat{\pmb{e}})$ and total radiated power $P_{\rm rad}$

$$D(\hat{k}, \hat{e}) = 4\pi \frac{P(\hat{k}, \hat{e})}{P_{\text{rad}}}$$

Q-factor

$$Q = \frac{2\omega W}{P_{\rm rad}} = \frac{2c_0 kW}{P_{\rm rad}},$$



where $W=\max\{W_{\rm e},W_{\rm m}\}$ denotes the maximum of the stored electric and magnetic energies. The D/Q quotient cancels $P_{\rm rad}$

$$\frac{D(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{Q} = \frac{2\pi P(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{c_0 k W}.$$

Radiation intensity $P(\hat{k}, \hat{e})$

$$P(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}) = \frac{\zeta_0 k^2}{32\pi^2} \left| \int_V \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j}\boldsymbol{k}\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \,\mathrm{d}V \right|^2,$$

Stored electric energy $\widetilde{W}^{(e)}_{\rm vac} = \frac{\mu_0}{16\pi k^2} w^{(e)}$

$$w^{(e)} = \int_{V} \int_{V} \nabla_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{1} \nabla_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{2}^{*} \frac{\cos(kR_{12})}{R_{12}} - \frac{k}{2} \left(k^{2} \boldsymbol{J}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{2}^{*} - \nabla_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{1} \nabla_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_{2}^{*}\right) \sin(kR_{12}) \,\mathrm{dV}_{1} \,\mathrm{dV}_{2},$$

where $m{J}_1 = m{J}(m{r}_1)$, $m{J}_2 = m{J}(m{r}_2)$, $R_{12} = |m{r}_1 - m{r}_2|$.

$$\frac{D(\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}})}{Q} = k^3 \frac{\left| \int_V \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{k} \hat{\boldsymbol{k}} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}} \, \mathrm{d} V \right|^2}{\max\{w^{(\mathrm{e})}(\boldsymbol{J}), w^{(\mathrm{m})}(\boldsymbol{J})\}}$$

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Non-electrically small antennas



Reformulate the D/Q bound as the minimization

subject to the constraint

problem

$$\left| \int_{V} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^{*} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{r}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j}\boldsymbol{k}\hat{\boldsymbol{k}}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}} \, \mathrm{d}V \right| = 1.$$

Solve using Lagrange multipliers. It gives bounds and the optimal current distribution J.







Expand for $ka \rightarrow 0$

$$-\frac{D}{Q} \le \max_{\rho, \boldsymbol{J}^{(0)}} \frac{k^3 \left| \int_V \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\boldsymbol{h}}^* \times \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \, \mathrm{d}V \right|^2}{\max \left\{ \int_V \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2^*}{R_{12}} \, \mathrm{dV}_1 \, \mathrm{dV}_2, \int_V \frac{\boldsymbol{J}_1^{(0)} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}_2^{(0)*}}{R_{12}} \, \mathrm{dV}_1 \, \mathrm{dV}_2 \right\}},$$

Electric dipole $oldsymbol{J}^{(0)} = oldsymbol{0}$

$$\frac{D_{\mathrm{e}}}{Q_{\mathrm{e}}} \leq \max_{\rho} \frac{k^3}{4\pi} \frac{\left|\int \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}) \,\mathrm{d}V\right|^2}{\int_V \int_V \frac{\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\rho^*(\boldsymbol{r}_2)}{4\pi |\boldsymbol{r}_1 - \boldsymbol{r}_2|} \,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{V}_1 \,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{V}_2}.$$



Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden





The stars indicate the performance of strip dipoles with $\xi = 0.01$. Almost no dependence on ka for $D/(Qk^3a^3)$. More dependence on ka for D and Qk^3a^3 . Note the directivity of the half-wave dipole.

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

- ▶ The optimization problem for small dipole antennas show that the charge distribution is the most important quantity.
- ► On a sphere, we have

$$\rho(\theta,\phi) = \rho_0 \cos\theta$$

for optimal antennas with polarization $\hat{e} = \hat{z}$.

The current density satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{J} = -\mathbf{j}k\rho$$

Many solutions, e.g., all surface currents

$$\boldsymbol{J} = J_{\theta 0} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \Big(\sin \theta - \frac{\beta}{\sin \theta} \Big) + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}$$

where $J_{\theta 0} = -jka\rho_0$, β is a constant, and $A = A(\theta, \phi)$

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

Outline

- **1** Motivation and background
- **2** Antenna bounds based on forward scattering
- Antenna bounds and optimal currents based on stored energy

4 Conclusions

Optimal current distributions on small spheres

Some solutions:

- ► Spherical dipole, $\beta = 0, A = 0.$
- Capped dipole. $\beta = 1, A = 0.$
- ► Folded spherical helix, $\beta = 0, A \neq 0.$

They all have almost identical charge distributions

$$\rho(\theta,\phi) = \rho_0 \cos\theta$$

Can mathematical solutions suggest antenna designs?

Mats Gustafsson, Department of Electrical and Information Technology, Lund University, Sweden

- ► Forward scattering and/or optimization to determine bounds on D/Q for arbitrary shaped antennas.
- Closed form solution for small antennas.
 - Performance in the polarizability of the antenna structure.
 - ► Forwards scattering and optimization approach coincide for $ka \rightarrow 0$.
- ► Lagrange multipliers to solve the optimization problem for larger structures.
- $D/(Qk^3a^3)$ nearly independent on kafor 0 < ka < 1.5.
- Optimal current distributions.



